UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

EDWARD L. FULTON,

Case No. C12-330-RSM

Plaintiff.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

v.

BRUCE FULTON, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, has filed a proposed complaint and an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP") in the above-entitled civil action against the Defendants, who are his parents. The proposed complaint is devoid of factual content, stating:

I accuse Bruce & Ju-Chan of violating my civil rights by being negligent, kicking me out before I was 18, verbal and physical abuse, cruel and unusual punishment, abandonment, etc.

I request a grand jury and either 25% of their assets of \$250,000. Dkt. 1-1.

A plaintiff must "plead a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2). The factual allegations of a complaint must be "enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). A complaint may be dismissed as a matter of law if it

1	lacks a cognizable legal theory or states insufficient facts to support a cognizable legal claim.
2	See Robertson v. Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc., 749 F.2d 530, 534 (9th Cir. 1984). Under 28
3	U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the district court must dismiss the case "at any time" it determines the
4	complaint is frivolous or fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted. Section 1915(e)
5	applies to all IFP proceedings, not only those filed by prisoners. See Lopez v. Smith, 203 F.3d
6	1122, 1126 n.7 (9th Cir. 2000).
7	Here, Plaintiff's proposed complaint lacks any cognizable legal theory, and therefore
8	appears fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted. Setting aside the court's concerns
9	that Plaintiff may be under 18 years of age, and therefore may lack capacity to bring this suit,
10	a federal civil-rights lawsuit is not the proper vehicle by which the Plaintiff can address issues
11	of alleged parental neglect.
12	Because the Plaintiff has failed to identify a cognizable legal theory under which he is
13	entitled to relief, the court recommends that his complaint be dismissed and his IFP application
14	be denied as moot. A proposed order accompanies this Report and Recommendation.
15	DATED this 6th day of March, 2012.
16	James P. Donolue
17	JAMES P. DONOHUE
18	United States Magistrate Judge
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26